

See discussions, stats, and author profiles for this publication at: <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/259492811>

# DATES–Production, Processing, Food and Medicinal values

Chapter · January 2012

---

CITATIONS

12

READS

1,090

1 author:



Mohamed Al-Farsi

Food and Water Laboratories Center (FWLC)

25 PUBLICATIONS 1,585 CITATIONS

SEE PROFILE

Some of the authors of this publication are also working on these related projects:



Chapter in of Date (*Phoenix dactylifera* L.) Seeds in Human Health and Animal Feed [View project](#)



Dates Antioxidants [View project](#)

---

# 25 The Functional Values of Dates

*Mohamed Ali Al-Farsi and Chang Yong Lee*

## CONTENTS

Introduction.....	351
Selenium .....	352
Vitamins .....	352
Dietary Fiber .....	352
Carotenoids .....	353
Anthocyanins .....	354
Phenolics .....	355
Antioxidants.....	355
Conclusion .....	356
References.....	357

## INTRODUCTION

The dates (*Phoenix dactylifera* L.) are produced largely in the hot desert regions of North Africa and Southwest Asia, and are marketed worldwide as a high-value fruit. With the present uncertainty in the world food supply and an expected increase in demand, the date palm is likely to continue to provide a good source of low-cost fruit.

Date flesh consists of 70% carbohydrates, most of which is in the form of sugars. In most varieties, the sugar content is almost entirely invert sugars, which is rapidly absorbed by the human body (Ahmed and Ahmed, 1995; Al-Hooti et al., 1997; Myhara et al., 1999; Al-Farsi et al., 2005). Dates also contain large amounts of dietary fiber, and are thought to be a good source of antioxidants and some minerals. However, relatively few detailed analyses of their composition have been published. In some instances, the variety analyzed has not been identified and, in addition, conflicting results for some constituents have been published.

There is a particular lack of information on functional (bioactive) constituents of dates and their potential value as functional foods. Functional foods are defined as those foods that provide health benefits beyond basic nutrition (IFICF, 1998). Epidemiological studies have consistently shown that there are clear significant positive associations between intake of fruits and vegetables and reduced rate of heart diseases mortality, common cancers, and other degenerative diseases as well as aging (Joseph et al., 1999; Dillard and German, 2000; Prior and Cao, 2000; Wargovich, 2000). This is attributed to the fact that these foods may provide an optimal mix of dietary fiber, natural antioxidants, and other biotic compounds.

The functional constituents of dates include dietary fiber, which is important for the health of the digestive tract. Dietary fiber consists of the edible plant material which is not hydrolyzed by the human digestive tract. Many studies recommend the public to consume adequate amounts of dietary fiber from a variety of plant foods (Institute of Medicine, 2001; Marlett et al., 2002; Mai et al., 2003).

Dates also contain significant quantities of antioxidants. Antioxidants are thought to play an essential role in the prevention of cardiovascular disease (Renaud and De Lorgeril, 1992; Fuhrman et al., 1995), cancers (Wargovich, 2000; Dragsted et al., 1993), neurodegenerative diseases, such as

Parkinson's and Alzheimer's diseases (Joseph et al., 1999; Okuda et al., 1992; Clarke, 1999), as well as inflammation (Joseph et al., 1999; Lietty et al., 1976) and aging (Prior and Cao, 2000; Ames et al., 1993; Gaulejac et al., 1999).

A dietary antioxidant is defined as a substance in foods that significantly decreases the adverse effects of reactive species, such as reactive oxygen and nitrogen, on normal physiological function in humans (Institute of Medicine, 2000). Antioxidants markedly delay or prevent oxidation of the substrate when they are present in foods or in the body at low concentrations (Halliwell, 1999; Shahidi, 2000). Natural antioxidants consist primarily of phenolics, vitamin C, carotenoids, and selenium (Institute of Medicine, 2000; Shahidi and Naczk, 2004). Examples of common plant phenolic antioxidants include flavonoid compounds such as anthocyanins, cinnamic acid derivatives, coumarins, and tocopherols (vitamin E) (Shahidi and Naczk, 2004).

This review examines the functional constituents of dates and discusses their functional properties. In order to compare the composition of fresh and dried dates with other dried fruits, all presented data from cited references have been recalculated on wet weight bases.

## SELENIUM

The available data on selenium content of dates ranged between 0.24 mg/100 g in Brahi and 0.4 mg/100 g in Fard and Khasab. The recommended dietary allowance (RDA) of selenium per adult (male and female) per day is 0.055 mg (Whitney and Rolfes, 2002); thus, dates can be regarded as a major source of selenium and this could be used for promotion and marketing strategies. Selenium is a coenzyme for the antioxidant enzyme glutathione peroxidase, and therefore, has a role in the protection of body tissues against oxidative stress, maintenance of defenses against infection, and modulation of growth and development (Institute of Medicine, 2000).

## VITAMINS

Dates contain mainly water-soluble vitamins (B-complex and C). They dissolve in water and are not stored in the body; they are eliminated in urine and therefore we need a continuous supply of them in our diets.

Table 25.1 shows the vitamin content of fresh and dried dates with their daily RDA/adequate intakes (AI). Vitamin C content in fresh dates ranged between 2.4 and 3.4 mg/100 g. Dried dates are generally a moderate source of vitamin B6, B9, B2, and B3 as 100 g of dates provide over 9% of the daily RDA/AI for adults. Dried fruits of plums, apricot, figs, raisins, and peaches contain, on average, 0.052 mg B1, 0.136 mg B2, 2.046 mg B3, and 1.980 mg vitamin C per 100 g (USDA, 2007). Therefore, compared to these dried fruits, dates are regarded as a reasonable source of vitamins, particularly vitamin C (3.9 mg/100 g).

Vitamins are essential nutrients found in foods; the daily requirements are small but they perform specific and vital functions essential for maintaining health. B and C vitamins serve as coenzymes that facilitate the work of every cell in our body. Vitamins are active in carbohydrates, fat, protein metabolism, and in the making of DNA of new cells. Vitamin C acts as well as an antioxidant, protects tissues from oxidative stress, and thus may play an important role in preventing diseases (Whitney and Rolfes, 2002).

## DIETARY FIBER

Q1

There are several methods used to determine dietary fibers in foods. The references selected in this review used the same principle for determining dietary fiber (AOAC method), mainly cellulose, hemicelluloses, pectin, and lignin. Table 25.2 shows the content of soluble, insoluble, and total

**TABLE 25.1**  
**Vitamins Content of Date (mg/100 g)<sup>a</sup>**

Varieties	B1 Thiamin	B2 Riboflavin	B3 Niacin	B6 Pyridoxal	B9 Folate	C Ascorbic	References
<b>Fresh Dates</b>							
Berhi						3.400	Allaith (2008)
Hallawi						2.900	Allaith (2008)
Khalas						2.400	Allaith (2008)
Average						2.900	
<b>Dried Dates</b>							
Medjool	0.050	0.060	1.610	0.249			USDA (2010)
Deglet Noor	0.052	0.066	1.274	0.165		0.400	USDA (2010)
Hallawi	0.092	0.160			0.053	3.300	Yousif et al. (1982)
Sayer	0.120	0.125			0.065		Yousif et al. (1982)
Khadrawi	0.085	0.135			0.039	2.900	Yousif et al. (1982)
Zahdi	0.073	0.153			0.058	2.200	Yousif et al. (1982)
Khudari						1.000	Sawaya et al. (1982)
Sullaj						1.500	Sawaya et al. (1982)
Average	0.079	0.117	1.442	0.207	0.054	1.88	
RDA/AI <sup>b</sup> mg/day	1.200	1.300	16.000	1.300	0.400	90.000	Whitney and Rolfes (2002)

<sup>a</sup> All data are expressed on wet weight basis.

<sup>b</sup> RDA/AI is recommended dietary allowance/adequate intakes per adult male per day.

dietary fiber of fresh and dried dates. Insoluble dietary fiber is the major fraction of dietary fiber in dates. The total fiber content in fresh dates ranged between 6.9 and 8.6 g/100 g, whereas in dried dates it ranged between 3.6 and 13.5 g/100 g. The average total fiber content in fresh dates increased from 7.5 to 8.6 g/100 g in dried dates.

From the total dietary fiber content in dates and the recommended daily intake of total dietary fiber (25 g/day) (Marlett et al., 2002), dates could be a good source of dietary fiber in the diet, as 100 g of dates provide 34% of the recommended daily intake of dietary fiber. The high content of the insoluble fiber in dates induces satiety, and has a laxative effect due to increased stool weight. It therefore may reduce the risk of serious conditions such as bowel cancer, and diverticular disease (Marlett et al., 2002; Cummings et al., 1992). In comparison with other dried fruits (Table 25.5), their dietary fibers ranged between 3.7 and 9.8 g/100 g; thus, dates are regarded as a rich source of dietary fiber.

## CAROTENOIDS

The average total carotenoids content of fresh and dried dates are 0.913 and 0.973 mg/100 g, respectively (Table 25.3). The carotenoids content of dates varied among varieties in the range of 0.033 and 3.03 mg/100 g in fresh dates and between 0.051 and 2.900 mg/100 g in dried dates. The factors causing these variations are probably due to the differences between maturation, methods of drying, and analysis methods. Also, the total carotenoids content in dates varied between the yellow- and red-colored varieties, with lutein,  $\beta$ -carotene, and neoxanthin being the major carotenoids. Fruits that are red usually contain hydrocarbon carotenoids such as lycopene, neurosporene,  $\gamma$ -carotene,

Q2

**TABLE 25.2**  
**Dietary Fiber of Fresh and Dried Dates<sup>a</sup>**

Varieties	Soluble (g/100 g)	Insoluble (g/100 g)	Total (g/100 g)	References
<b>Fresh Dates</b>				
Khalas			7.1	Myhara et al. (1999)
Fard			8.6	Myhara et al. (1999)
Hayani	0.96	5.9	6.9	El-Zoghbi (1994)
Average	0.96	5.9	7.5	
<b>Dried Dates</b>				
Fard	1.3	6.7	8.0	Al-Farsi et al. (2005)
Khasab	1.1	7.4	8.4	Al-Farsi et al. (2005)
Khalas	0.4	5.9	6.3	Al-Farsi et al. (2005)
Deglet Noor			8.0	USDA (2010)
Deglet Nour	3.9	7.0	10.9	Elleuch et al. (2008)
Allig	4.9	8.6	13.5	Elleuch et al. (2008)
Medjool			6.7	USDA (2010)
Hayani	0.54	3.0	3.6	El-Zoghbi (1994)
Khalas			10.9	Myhara et al. (1999)
Fard			10.1	Myhara et al. (1999)
Average	2.02	6.4	8.6	

<sup>a</sup> All data are expressed on wet weight basis.

and  $\delta$ -carotene, whereas yellow-colored fruit contains  $\alpha$ -carotene,  $\beta$ -carotene, and a mixture of carotenol fatty acid esters (Fennema, 1996). Drying processes can result in degradation or formation of *cis*-isomers of carotenoids (Chen et al., 1995; Sánchez-Moreno et al., 2003). Al-Farsi et al. (2005) reported that the destruction ranged between 4% and 30% of dates carotenoids during sun drying. This destruction was attributed to the drying temperature (30–50 °C) and the duration of the process (7–10 days) (Al-Farsi et al., 2005).

Typical carotenoid concentrations in other dried fruits range from 0.032 mg/100 g for figs to 2.2 mg/100 g in apricot (Table 25.5). Therefore, dates (0.973 mg/100 g) can be considered a moderate source of carotenoids compared to other dried fruits.

## ANTHOCYANINS

Table 25.4 shows the total content of anthocyanins, phenolics, and antioxidants of fresh and dried dates. Anthocyanins were found only in fresh date varieties, especially the red-colored varieties, and ranged between 0.2 and 1.5 mg/100 g. The absence of anthocyanins from dried dates is probably due to their destruction during the drying process (Al-Farsi et al., 2005; Markakis, 1982; Shahidi and Naczki, 1995). Al-Farsi et al. (2005) reported 100% destruction of date's anthocyanins during drying. In addition, many other factors such as genetics, light, agronomic factors, and storage are also responsible for the degradation of anthocyanins (Shahidi and Naczki, 2004; Mazza and Miniati, 1993). In comparison with other fruits, anthocyanins present in red grapes ranged from 7.0 to 15.0 mg/100 g (Cantos et al., 2002), whereas in peaches it ranged from 5.4 to 14.3 mg/100 g for white varieties and from 8.6 to 27.4 mg/100 g for the yellow varieties (Tomas-Barberan et al., 2001).

**TABLE 25.3**  
**Carotenoids Content of Fresh and Dried Dates (mg/100 g)<sup>a</sup>**

Varieties	$\alpha$ -Caro.	$\beta$ -Caro.	Zeax.	$\beta$ -Zea.	Lute.	Neox.	Total	References
<b>Fresh Dates</b>								
Date (unknown)	0.003	0.018	0.033	0.009			0.063	Ben-Amotz and Fishler (1998)
Deglet Nour		0.006			0.156		0.167	Boudries et al. (2007)
Tantebouchte		0.003			0.028		0.033	Boudries et al. (2007)
Hamraya		0.003			0.034		0.037	Boudries et al. (2007)
Hayany		0.116			0.541	0.381	1.270	Gross et al. (1983)
Deglet Noor		0.060			0.461	0.230	0.920	Gross et al. (1983)
Fard							1.390	Al-Farsi et al. (2005)
Khasab							1.310	Al-Farsi et al. (2005)
Khalas							3.030	Al-Farsi et al. (2005)
Average	0.003	0.034	0.033	0.009	0.244	0.306	0.913	
<b>Dried Dates</b>								
Deglet Nour		0.003			0.060		0.064	Boudries et al. (2007)
Tantebouchte		0.010			0.129		0.145	Boudries et al. (2007)
Hamraya		0.003			0.046		0.051	Boudries et al. (2007)
Hayany		0.146			0.520	0.365	1.260	Gross et al. (1983)
Deglet Noor		0.054			0.485	0.206	0.920	Gross et al. (1983)
Barhee		0.143			0.491	0.184	1.320	Gross et al. (1983)
Fard							1.200	Al-Farsi et al. (2005)
Khasab							0.900	Al-Farsi et al. (2005)
Khalas							2.900	Al-Farsi et al. (2005)
Average		0.060			0.289	0.252	0.973	

<sup>a</sup> All data are expressed on wet weight basis.  $\alpha$ -Caro.:  $\alpha$ -carotene;  $\beta$ -caro.:  $\beta$ -carotene; zeax.: zeaxanthin;  $\beta$ -zea.:  $\beta$ -zeaxanthin; lute.: lutein; neox.: neoxanthin.

## PHENOLICS

The average phenolics content ranged from 150.7 mg/100 g for fresh dates to 353 mg/100 g for dried dates (Table 25.4). The total phenolics of dates varied among dried varieties, although they used the same methodology (Folin Ciocalteu). The use of different phenolic acid standards, such as ferulic acid and gallic acid, makes the quantitative comparison invalid. In general, drying is regarded as unfavorable due to the possibility of inducing oxidative decomposition either enzymatically by polyphenol oxidase and glycosidase or by thermal degradation of phenolic compounds (Shahidi and Naczki, 2004). However, phenolics increased after drying of some varieties. This could be explained by the degradation of tannins by heat and maturation enzymes during the drying process, which leads to the release of phenolic compounds (Maillard and Berset, 1995). According to Maillard and Berset (1995), the linkages between *p*-coumaric acid and lignin and between ferulic acid and arabinoxylans could be broken at a high temperature. In comparison with other dried fruits in Table 25.5, which ranged between 160 and 1065 mg/100 g, dates can be considered to be a good source of total phenolics.

## ANTIOXIDANTS

The total antioxidant content of fresh and dried dates were reported by two different methods, oxygen radical absorbance capacity (ORAC) and ferric reducing ability of plasma (FRAP). The

Q2

**TABLE 25.4**  
**Anthocyanins, Phenolics, and Antioxidants of Fresh and Dried Dates<sup>a</sup>**

Varieties	Anthocyanins (mg/100 g)	Phenolics (mg/100 g)	Antioxidants		References
			ORAC ( $\mu\text{mol}/100\text{ g}$ )	FRAP ( $\mu\text{mol}/100\text{ g}$ )	
<b>Fresh Dates</b>					
Fard	0.9	280	1738		Al-Farsi et al. (2005)
Khnaizi		135		0.62	Allaith (2008)
Ashaal		88		0.80	Allaith (2008)
Berhi		100		0.70	Allaith (2008)
Khasab	1.5	167	1169		Al-Farsi et al. (2005)
Khalas	0.2	134	2060	0.73	Al-Farsi et al. (2005), Allaith (2008)
Average	0.87	150.7	1656	0.71	
<b>Dried Dates</b>					
Fard	nd	343	999		Al-Farsi et al. (2005)
Khasab	nd	217	821		Al-Farsi et al. (2005)
Khalas	nd	339	1254	0.99	Al-Farsi et al. (2005), Allaith (2008)
Ruzaiz		301		1.01	Allaith (2008)
Hallah		342		0.65	Allaith (2008)
Deglet Noor		661	3895	1.97	Wu et al. (2004), Allaith (2008)
Medjool		572	2387		Al-Farsi et al. (2005)
Kharak		130			Biglari et al. (2008)
Kentichi		272			Besbes et al. (2009)
Average		353	1871	1.16	

<sup>a</sup> All data are expressed on wet weight basis; nd: not detected.

antioxidants by the FRAP method ranged between 0.62 and 0.80  $\mu\text{mol}/100\text{ g}$  for fresh dates and between 0.65 and 1.97  $\mu\text{mol}/100\text{ g}$  for dried dates. Most data available for antioxidants in dates are reported by the ORAC method. ORAC values of fresh dates Fard, Khasab, and Khalas averaged 1656  $\mu\text{mol trolox}/100\text{ g}$  and reduced after drying to average 1025  $\mu\text{mol trolox}/100\text{ g}$  (Al-Farsi et al., 2005). The reduction in antioxidants on drying has been reported by Al-Farsi et al. (2005). They found that the antioxidant loss ranged from 29.7% to 42.5% during sun drying of three date varieties.

The antioxidant content of other dried fruits ranged between 1863  $\mu\text{mol}/100\text{ g}$  for peaches and 3383  $\mu\text{mol}/100\text{ g}$  for figs (Table 25.5). Thus, in comparison with these fruits, dates are a good source of antioxidants. This finding is supported by other studies published on date antioxidants; Vayalil (2002) and Guo et al. (2003). Vayalil (2002) stated that the antioxidant and the antimutagenic activity in dates is potent and implicates the presence of compounds with potent free radical scavenging activity. Guo et al. (2003) reported that dates had the second-highest antioxidant value among 28 fruits commonly consumed in China, and Hawthorn fruit had the highest amount of antioxidants. The variation between samples could be due to varietal, extraction techniques, and instrumental analysis.

## CONCLUSION

The data presented in this chapter show that dates are a good source of nutrients and antioxidants that may play an important role in human health. Dates are rich in dietary fiber and natural antioxidants,

**TABLE 25.5**  
**Composition of Common Dried Fruits<sup>a</sup>**

Fruits	Moisture (g/100 g)	Fiber (g/100 g)	Carotenoids (mg/100 g)	Vitamin C (mg/100 g)	Phenolics (mg/100 g)	Antioxidants <sup>b</sup> ( $\mu$ mol/100 g)	References
Dates	15.2	8.6	0.973	3.900	353	1871	
Plum	30.9	7.1	0.69	0.600	500		USDA (2010), Guo et al. (2003), Vizzotto et al. (2006)
Apricot	30.9	7.3	2.2	1.000	160		USDA (2010), Guo et al. (2003), Ruiz et al. (2006)
Figs	30.1	9.8	0.032	1.200	960	3383	USDA (2010), Wu et al. (2004)
Peaches	31.8	8.2	2.08	4.800	163	1863	USDA (2010), Wu et al. (2004)
Raisins	15.0	3.7		2.300	1065	3037	USDA (2010), Wu et al. (2004)

<sup>a</sup> All data are expressed on a wet weight basis.

<sup>b</sup> Antioxidants was measured by the ORAC method.

such as selenium, phenolics, and carotenoids. Therefore, dates could potentially be used as a supplement of fiber and antioxidants in nutraceutical, pharmaceutical, and medicine industries.

## REFERENCES

- Ahmed, I.A., and Ahmed, W.K. 1995. Chemical composition of date varieties as influenced by the stage of ripening. *Food Chem.*, **54**:305–309.
- Al-Farsi, M., Alasalvar, C., Morris, A., Baron, M., and Shahidi, F. 2005. Comparison of antioxidant activity, anthocyanins, carotenoids, and phenolics of three native fresh and sun-dried date (*Phoenix dactylifera* L.) varieties grown in Oman. *J. Agric. Food Chem.*, **53**:7592–7599.
- Al-Hooti, S., Sidhu, J.S., and Qabazard, H. 1997. Physicochemical characteristics of five date fruit cultivars grown in the United Arab Emirates. *Plant Food Hum. Nutr.*, **50**:101–113.
- Ames, B.N., Shigenga, M.K., and Hagen, T.M. 1993. Oxidants, antioxidants and degenerative diseases of ageing. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*.
- Cantos, E., Espin, J.C., and Tomas-Barberan, F.A. 2002. Varietal differences among the polyphenol profiles of seven table grape cultivars studied by LC-DAD-MS-MS. *J. Agric. Food Chem.*, **50**:5691–5696.
- Chen, B.H., Peng, H.Y., and Chen, H.E. 1995. Changes of carotenoids, color, and vitamin A contents during processing of carrot juice. *J. Agric. Food Chem.*, **43**:1912–1918.
- Clarke, M.P. 1999. *Can Food Forestall Ageing*. Agriculture Research USDA, February, 15–17.
- Cummings, J.H., Bingham, S., Heaton, K.W., and Eastwood, M.A. 1992. Fecal weight, colon cancer risk, and dietary intake of nonstarch polysaccharides (dietary fibre). *Gastroenterology*, **103**:1783–1789.
- Dillard, C.J., and German, J.B. 2000. Phytochemicals: Nutraceuticals and human health. *J. Sci. Food Agric.*, **80**:1744–1756.
- Dragsted, L.O., Strube, M., and Larsen, J.C. 1993. Cancer-protective factors in fruits and vegetables: Biochemical and biological background. *Pharmacol. Toxicol.*, **72**:116–135.



- Fennema, O.R. 1996. *Food Chemistry*, 3rd edition. Dekker: New York.
- Fuhrman, B., Lavy, A., and Aviram, M. 1995. Consumption of red wine with meals reduces the susceptibility of human plasma and low-density lipoprotein to lipid peroxidation. *Am. J. Clin. Nutr.*, **61**:549–554.
- Gaulejac, N., Vivas, N., and Borgeois, G. 1999. The influence of various phenolics compounds on scavenging activity assessed by an enzymatic method. *J. Sci. Food Agric.*, **79**:1081–1090.
- Guo, C., Yang, J., Wei, J., Li, Y., Xu, J., and Jiang, Y. 2003. Antioxidant activities of peel, pulp and seed fractions of common fruits as determined by FRAP assay. *Nutr. Res.*, **23**:1719–1726.
- Halliwell, B. 1999. Food-derived antioxidants. Evaluating their importance in food and *in vivo*. *J. Food Sci. Agric. Chem.*, **1**:67–109.
- IFICF. 1998. International Food Information Council Foundation. Backgrounder: Functional foods. In: *Food Insight Media Guide*. Washington.
- Institute of Medicine. 2000. *DRI Dietary Reference Intakes for Vitamin C, Vitamin E, Selenium, and Carotenoids*. National Academy Press, Washington, DC.
- Institute of Medicine. 2001. *Dietary Reference Intakes: Proposed Definition of Dietary Fiber*. National Academy Press, Washington, DC.
- Joseph, J.A., Shukitt-Hale, B., Denisova, N.A., Bielinski, D., Martin, A., McEwen, J.J., and Bickford, P.C. 1999. Reversals of age-related declines in neuronal signal transduction, cognitive, and motor behavioral deficits with blueberry, spinach, or strawberry dietary supplementation. *J. Neurosci.*, **19**:8114–8121.
- Lietty, A., Cristoni, A., and Picci, M. 1976. Studies on *Vaccinium myrtillus* anthocyanosides: Vasoprotective and anti-inflammatory activity. *Arzneimittel-Forschung*, **26**:829–832.
- Mai, V., Flood, A., Peters, U., Lacey, J., Schairer, C., and Schatzkin, A. 2003. Dietary fiber and risk of colorectal cancer in the Breast Cancer Detection Demonstration Project (BCDDP) follow-up cohort. *Int. J. Epidemiol.*, **32**:234–239.
- Maillard, M.N., and Berset, C. 1995. Evolution of antioxidant activity during kilning: Role of insoluble bound phenolic acids of barley and malt. *J. Agric. Food Chem.*, **43**:1789–1793.
- Markakis, P. 1982. *Anthocyanins as Food Colors*, Academic Press: New York.
- Marlett, J.A., McBurney, M.I., and Slavin, J.L. 2002. Position of the American Dietetic Association: Health implications of dietary fiber. *J. Am. Diet. Assoc.*, **102**:993–1000.
- Mazza, G., and Miniati, E. 1993. *Anthocyanins in Fruits, Vegetables, and Grains*, CRC Press: Boca Raton, FL.
- Myhara, R.M., Karkalas, J., and Taylor, M.S. 1999. The composition of maturing Omani dates. *J. Sci. Food Agric.*, **79**:1345–1350.
- Okuda, T., Yoshida, T., and Hatano, T. 1992. Antioxidant effects of tannins and related phenols. In *Phenolic Compounds in Foods and Their Effect on Health*. Huang, M.T., Ho, C.T., and Lee, C.Y. (eds), pp. 87–97. American Chemical Society: Washington, DC.
- Prior, R.L., and Cao, G. 2000. Antioxidant phytochemicals in fruits and vegetables: Diet and health implications. *J. Hort. Sci.*, **35**:588–592.
- Renaud, S., and De Lorgeril, M. 1992. Wine, alcohol, platelets, and the French paradox for coronary heart disease. *Lancet*, **339**:1523–1526.
- Sánchez-Moreno, C., Plaza, L., De Ancos, B., and Cano, M.P. 2003. Vitamin C, provitamin A carotenoids, and other carotenoids in high-pressurized orange juice during refrigerated storage. *J. Agric. Food Chem.*, **51**:647–653.
- Shahidi, F. 2000. Antioxidants in food and food antioxidants. *Nahrung*, **44**:158–163.
- Shahidi, F., and Naczk, M. 1995. Antioxidant properties of food phenolics. In *Food Phenolics: Sources, Chemistry, Effects and Applications*. Shahidi, F., and Naczk, M. (eds), pp. 235–277. Technomic Publishing Co.: Lancaster, PA.
- Shahidi, F., and Naczk, M. 2004. *Phenolics in Food and Nutraceuticals*, CRC Press: Boca Raton, FL.
- Tomas-Barberan, F.A., Gil, M.I., Cremin, P., Waterhouse, A.L., Hess-Pierce, B., and Kader, A.A., 2001. HPLC-DAD-ESIMS analysis of phenolic compounds in nectarines, peaches, and plums. *J. Agric. Food Chem.*, **49**:4748–4760.
- USDA. National Nutrient Database for Standard Reference, United States Department of Agriculture; [www.nal.usda.gov/fnic/foodcomp/search/](http://www.nal.usda.gov/fnic/foodcomp/search/), accessed May 15, 2007.
- Vayalil, P.K. 2002. Antioxidant and antimutagenic properties of aqueous extract of date fruit (*Phoenix dactylifera* L. Arecaceae). *J. Agric. Food Chem.*, **50**:610–617.
- Wargovich, M.J. 2000. Anticancer properties of fruits and vegetables. *J. Hort. Sci.*, **35**:573–575.
- Whitney, E., and Rolfes, S. 2002. *Understanding Nutrition*, 9th edition. Wadsworth/Thomson Learning Belmont: CA, USA.
- Q5** Zaid, A., and Arias-Jimenez, E.J. 2002. *Date Palm Cultivation*. FAO Technical Bulletin No. 156. FAO: Rome.

**TO: CORRESPONDING AUTHOR**  
**AUTHOR QUERIES - TO BE ANSWERED BY THE AUTHOR**

The following queries have arisen during the typesetting of your manuscript. Please answer these queries by marking the required corrections at the appropriate point in the text.

Query No.	Query	Response
Q1	Please define AOAC.	
Q2	Allaith (2008), USDA (2010), Yousif et al. (1982), Sawaya et al. (1982), El-Zoghbi et al. (1982) and Elleuch et al. (2008), Ben-Amotz and Fishler (1998), Boudries et al. (2007), Gross et al. (1983), Wu et al. (2004), Biglari et al. (2008), Besbes et al. (2009), Vizzotto et al. (2006) and Ruiz et al. (2006) are not under References. Please provide complete publication details.	
Q3	Please provide the page number in Ames et al. (1993).	
Q4	Please provide the place of publication in Clarke (1999).	
Q5	Zaid and Arias-Jimenez (2002) is not cited. Please suggest a suitable place for its citation in the text.	